1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOSEPH A. SHERMAN,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-04-1310 LKK KJM P
12	VS.
13	YOLO COUNTY CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER,
14	
15	Respondent. ORDER
16	Petitioner is a state probationer proceeding pro se with this application for a writ
17	of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Judgment was entered in this case on September
18	27, 2007, and petitioner has filed a notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the
19	Ninth Circuit. On January 23, 2008, petitioner filed a request for a waiver of the filing fee for
20	his appeal; on November 14, 2007, he filed an affidavit of his changed financial situation. The
21	court interprets these filings as requests to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. The court file
22	reflects that petitioner paid the filing fee for this action.
23	/////
24	/////
25	/////
26	/////

Case 2:04-cv-01310-LKK-KJM Document 55 Filed 02/01/08 Page 2 of 2

1	Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure provides that a party to a
2	district court action who desires to appeal in forma pauperis on appeal must file a motion in the
3	district court which:
4	(A) shows in the detail prescribed by Form 4 of the Appendix of Forms the party's inability to pay or to give security for fees and
5	costs;
6	(B) claims an entitlement to redress; and
7	(C) states the issues that the party intends to present on appeal.
8	Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(2). Petitioner has presented two affidavits which demonstrate his inability
9	to pay or to give security for fees and costs. In his October 22, 2007, notice of appeal petitioner
10	claimed entitlement to redress and described the issues to be presented on appeal. Petitioner has
11	substantially complied with the requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 24(a). Accordingly, his request
12	to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal will be granted.
13	Accordingly, good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's
14	January 23, 2008 request to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal (docket no. 54) is granted.
15	DATED: February 1, 2008.
16	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	U.S. MIAGISTRATE JUDGE
18	
19	
20	2
21	sher1310.24(a)
22	
23	
24	
25	